

Recapitulation:

Star-free languages

(1) $\emptyset \in SF_E$, $\{a\} \in SF_E$, $\{a^i\} \in SF_E$ f.u. $a \in E$.

(2) If $L_1, L_2 \in SF_E$ then $L_1 \cup L_2, L_1 \cdot L_2, \bar{L}_1 \in SF_E$

\Rightarrow Complement not an operation on regular sets
(but we know that it can be derived)

\Rightarrow Complement may yield $*$ in alternative representations of the language.

Theorem (McNaughton, Paper '71, part I, homework)

Let L be star-free. Then L is $FO[L]$ -definable.

Goal: If L is $FO[L]$ -definable then it is star-free.

This concludes our study:

| |
|--|
| $REG = WMSO\text{-definable}$ |
| $SF = FO[L]\text{-definable} \quad (aa)^*$ |

Requires some insights:

\hookrightarrow Relation $\equiv_{k,n}$ has finitely many equivalence classes

\hookrightarrow Every class of $\equiv_{k,n}$ can be characterised by single formula

(The technique of characteristic formulas is often helpful

\leadsto process algebra)

\Rightarrow If/then give inductive construction of star-free representation for $FO[L]$ -defined language.

Lemma 1:

For all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, relation $\equiv_{k,n}$ is an equivalence with finitely many classes.

Remember:

$(S_v, \vec{s}) \equiv_{k,n} (S_w, \vec{t})$ if $S_v, I[\vec{s}/\vec{x}] \models \varphi$ iff $S_w, I[\vec{t}/\vec{x}] \models \varphi$
f.a. formulas φ with $qd \leq k$
and n variables free.

Proof:

Equivalence: ✓

Finitely many classes:

Follows from:

Up to logical equivalence, there are only finitely many formulas
of quantifier depth k with n free variables.

(φ, ψ logically equivalent if $S_v, I[\vec{s}/\vec{x}] \models \varphi$ iff $S_v, I[\vec{s}/\vec{x}] \models \psi$
f.a. (S_v, \vec{s}) .)

To see this, note that every formula can be transformed
into prenex normal form:

$\underbrace{\exists / \forall x_1 : \dots \exists / \forall x_k : \varphi}_{\text{Sequence of } k \text{ quantifiers}}$

Quantifier-free formula over $\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_k}_{\text{bound}}$
and $\underbrace{x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{k+n}}_{\text{free variables}}$

- Boolean combination of atomic propositions $c = P_a(x)$ and $c = x < y$ (potentially negated)
- Whoy. in disjunctive normal form (DNF)

$$\bigvee_i \left(\bigwedge_j c_{ij} \right) \rightarrow \text{disjunct}$$

Estimate number of formulas in prenex normal form
with $qd = k$ and n -free variables:

- $P_a(x)$ with $x \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{k+n}\} \Rightarrow |\Sigma| (k+n)$ atomic propositions
- $x < y$ with $x, y \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{k+n}\} \Rightarrow (k+n)^2$ atomic propositions
- Negation $\Rightarrow 2(|\Sigma| (k+n) + (k+n)^2)$ atomic propositions

• Thus, we have at most

$$2^{2(|E|(k+n) + (k+n)^2)} \text{ possible disjuncts for the DNF}$$

• Thus, we have at most

$$2^{2^{2(|E|(k+n) + (k+n)^2)}} \text{ possible DNFs.}$$

For quantified variables, we can choose between \exists and \forall :

$$2^k \cdot 2^{2^{2(|E|(k+n) + (k+n)^2)}} \text{ formulas in prenex normal form.}$$

• Every equivalence class of $\equiv_{k,n}$ is determined by the formulas \mathcal{C} that hold with $gd(\mathcal{C}) \leq k$ and n free variables.

↳ We just realized that we (up to logical equivalence) finitely many such formulas, say $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.

↳ Thus there are at most 2^P equivalence classes. □

Lemma 2:

For every $\equiv_{k,n}$ equivalence class $[Sv, \vec{s}]_{\equiv_{k,n}}$

there is a formula $\mathcal{C}_{[Sv, \vec{s}]_{\equiv_{k,n}}}$ of $gd \leq k$ so that

$$(Sw, \vec{E}^?) \in [Sv, \vec{s}]_{\equiv_{k,n}} \text{ iff } Sw, I[\vec{E}^?/x] \models \mathcal{C}_{[Sv, \vec{s}]_{\equiv_{k,n}}}$$

Proof:

Define

$$\mathcal{C}_{[Sv, \vec{s}]_{\equiv_{k,n}}} := \bigwedge \mathcal{C} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{// Conjunction of all formulas} \\ \text{(up to logical equivalence)} \\ \text{that hold in } [Sv, \vec{s}]_{\equiv_{k,n}}. \end{array}$$

- \mathcal{C} of $gd \leq k$
- n -free variables
- $Sv, I[\vec{E}^?/x] \models \mathcal{C}$

By definition of $\equiv_{k,n}$, $\mathcal{C}_{[Sv, \vec{s}]_{\equiv_{k,n}}}$ independent of representative.

$\Rightarrow \checkmark$

\Leftarrow Left as an exercise.

Theorem (McNaughton, Paper '71, part II)

Let φ be an FO[ϵ]-sentence. Then $L(\varphi)$ is star-free.

Proof:

By induction on the quantifier-depth.

IF: The only sentences of quantifier depth 0 are true and false.

$k=0$ They yield

$$L(\text{true}) = \Sigma^* \text{ and } L(\text{false}) = \emptyset$$

which are star-free.

IS: Assume formulas of $qd \leq k$ have star-free languages.

Consider

$$\varphi = \exists x: \psi \text{ where } \psi \text{ is of quantifier depth } k.$$

The remaining cases follow by the fact that star-free languages are closed under Boolean operations.

Claim:

$$L(\exists x: \psi) \stackrel{?}{=} \bigcup_{\substack{u, a, v, \\ \exists [u]_k, \exists [v]_k \\ \exists [u]_k, \exists [v]_k \models \psi}} L[u]_k \text{ a. } L[v]_k \quad // \text{ Notation } u \equiv_k u' \text{ if } \exists u \equiv_k u'$$

By Lemma 1, this union is finite.

By Lemma 2, there are formulas $\varphi_{[u]_k}$ and $\varphi_{[v]_k}$ of $qd \leq k$ so that

$$u' \in [u]_k \text{ iff } \exists u' \models \varphi_{[u]_k}$$

By the hypothesis, $L(\varphi_{[u]_k})$ and $L(\varphi_{[v]_k})$ are star-free with expressions r_u and r_v .

Then

$$L(\exists x: \psi) = \bigcup_{\substack{u, a, v, \\ \exists [u]_k, \exists [v]_k \\ \exists [u]_k, \exists [v]_k \models \psi}} r_u \text{ a. } r_v$$

It remains to be shown is "?".

" \subseteq " Let $S_w \models \exists x: \varphi$

Then there is a position with some letter $a \in \Sigma$ so that

$$w = u.a.v \text{ and } S_{u.a.v}, \mathcal{I}[\ulcorner u \urcorner_k] \models \varphi.$$

This means

$$w \in [u]_{\equiv_k}.a.[v]_{\equiv_k} \subseteq U \dots$$

" \supseteq " Let $w \in [u]_{\equiv_k}.a.[v]_{\equiv_k}$.

Then $w = u'.a.v'$ with $S_u \equiv_k S_{u'}$ and $S_v \equiv_k S_{v'}$.

With Ehrenfeucht-Fraïssé theorem (to be proved)

$$(S_{u'.a.v'}, \ulcorner u' \urcorner) \equiv_{k,1} (S_{u.a.v}, \ulcorner u \urcorner)$$

Since

$$S_{u.a.v}, \mathcal{I}[\ulcorner u \urcorner_k] \models \varphi$$

we get

$$S_{u'.a.v'}, \mathcal{I}[\ulcorner u' \urcorner_k] \models \varphi.$$

We conclude

$$S_{u'.a.v'} \models \exists x: \varphi \quad \text{which means } S_w \models \varphi$$

On the Ehrenfeucht-Fraïssé argument:

Since $S_u \equiv_k S_{u'}$ and $S_v \equiv_k S_{v'}$,

we have

$G_k(S_u, S_{u'})$ and $G_k(S_v, S_{v'})$ won by duplicator.

Consider

$$G_k((S_{u.a.v}, \ulcorner u \urcorner), (S_{u'.a.v'}, \ulcorner u' \urcorner))$$

Duplicator wins this game:

- The letters a - a match
- For the left side, duplicator plays the winning strategy of $G_k(S_u, S_{u'})$.
- On the right side, duplicator wins $G_k(S_v, S_{v'})$.

Recent results on FO-languages:

Gashin, Dickert : FO for ω -languages '08
(Cachan) (Stuttgart)

Darondeau, Démri, Mijer, Morvan : FO for reachability graphs
(Rennes) (Cachan) (KL) (Rennes) of Petri nets 'in preparation
(predicates $x \rightarrow y$)