

From now on: Advanced FPT techniques.

3.1. Fast Subset Convolution

- Goal:
- Solve problems that we formulated as computing all solutions of a certain shape
 - ↳ Say all k -colorable induced subgraphs.
 - Introduce operations on functions to the computation of which these problems can be reduced.
 - ↳ Cover product and subset convolution.

Idea: • Generalize the principle of inclusion and exclusion.

3.1.1 Fast Zeta and Möbius Transforms

- Goal:
- Reformulate inclusion-exclusion algorithms via transforms between functions on the subset lattice.
 - These transforms take some $f: \mathcal{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and return $g: \mathcal{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.
 - Besides coming up with actual algorithms, our goal is to study properties of these transforms.

Setting: • Let $|V| = n$.

- Consider functions on the powerset lattice $(\mathcal{P}(V), \subseteq)$.

More precisely,

$$f, g: \mathcal{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

but \mathbb{Z} can be replaced by any ring in most cases.

- Write $\alpha \circ \beta$ as $\alpha \beta$, with $\alpha \beta(x) = \alpha(\beta(x))$.

Definition: Let $f: \mathcal{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

• The zeta transform is $\zeta f: \mathcal{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

with $(\zeta f)(X) := \sum_{Y \subseteq X} f(Y)$. One can see this as a relaxation of f .

• The Mobius transform is $\mu f: \mathcal{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

with $(\mu f)(X) := \sum_{Y \subseteq X} (-1)^{|X \setminus Y|} f(Y)$.

• The odd-negation transform is $\sigma f: \mathcal{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

with $(\sigma f)(X) := (-1)^{|X|} f(X)$.

Proposition (Homework):

1. $\sigma\sigma = \text{id}$

2. $\zeta = \sigma\mu\sigma$

3. $\mu = \sigma\zeta\sigma$.

The following formula will be the counterpart of the inclusion-exclusion principle.

Theorem (Inversion formula):

$\mu\zeta = \zeta\mu = \text{id}$, which means $\mu\zeta f = \zeta\mu f = f$ for all $f: \mathcal{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

which means $(\mu\zeta f)(X) = (\zeta\mu f)(X) = f(X)$ for all $X \subseteq V$.

Proof:

$$(\mu\zeta f)(X) \stackrel{(\text{Prop. 3})}{=} (\sigma\zeta\sigma f)(X)$$

$$(\text{Def } \sigma, \zeta) = (-1)^{|X|} \sum_{Y \subseteq X} (\sigma\zeta f)(Y)$$

$$(\text{Def } \sigma, \zeta) = (-1)^{|X|} \sum_{Y \subseteq X} (-1)^{|Y|} \sum_{Z \subseteq Y} f(Z)$$

$$(\text{Think about it}) = (-1)^{|X|} \sum_{Z \subseteq X} f(Z) \cdot \sum_{Z \subseteq Y \subseteq X} (-1)^{|Y|}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{bolak } Z=X) &= (-1)^{|X|} \cdot f(X) \cdot (-1)^{|X|} \\
&+ (-1)^{|X|} \cdot \sum_{Z \subsetneq X} f(Z) \cdot \sum_{Z \subsetneq Y \subsetneq X} (-1)^{|Y|} \\
&= f(X) + (-1)^{|X|} \cdot \sum_{Z \subsetneq X} f(Z) \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{Z \subsetneq Y \subsetneq X} (-1)^{|Y|}}_{=0}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\left(\sum_{Z \subsetneq Y \subsetneq X} (-1)^{|Y|} = 0 \right)^{(*)} = f(X).$$

To see (*), note that every set A has the same number of odd and even sized subsets.

Let $a \in A$.

We partition all subsets into pairs:

For every $S \subseteq A \setminus \{a\}$, pair with $S \cup \{a\}$.

Each pair has a set with even and another set with odd cardinality.

• For $\xi \mu = \text{id}$, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi \mu &\stackrel{(\text{Prop. 3})}{=} \xi \sigma \xi \sigma \stackrel{(\text{Prop. 1})}{=} \sigma \sigma \xi \sigma \xi \sigma \\
&\stackrel{(\text{Prop. 3})}{=} \sigma \mu \xi \sigma \\
&\stackrel{(\text{Just shown})}{=} \sigma \sigma \stackrel{(\text{Prop. 2})}{=} \text{id}.
\end{aligned}$$

• Recall CARDOMATIC NUMBER:

Given: Graph G , $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Question: Does G have a k -coloring $c: V(G) \rightarrow [1, k]$ (i.e. that $c(u) \neq c(v)$ whenever u, v adjacent).

□

- Our goal is to solve CHROMATIC NUMBER using the transforms.

For $X \subseteq V(G)$, let

$$f(X) := \begin{aligned} &\text{the number of covers of } X \\ &\text{by } k\text{-independent sets} \\ &= \text{the number of } k\text{-tuples } (I_1, \dots, I_k) \\ &\text{of independent sets in } G \\ &\text{with } \bigcup_{j=1}^k I_j = X. \end{aligned}$$

- Then $(\mathcal{E}f)(X) = \sum_{Y \subseteq X} f(Y) =$ number of k -tuples (I_1, \dots, I_k) with $\bigcup_{j=1}^k I_j \subseteq X$.

With the previous notation,

$$(\mathcal{E}f)(X) = s(X)^k.$$

With Section 27, this means $(\mathcal{E}f)(X)$

can be computed

- ↳ after a dynamic programming preprocessing of $2^n \cdot n^{O(1)}$ -time
- ↳ in polynomial time (just exponentiation).

- With the inversion formula,

$$\boxed{f(V(G)) = \mu(\mathcal{E}f(V(G))) = (\mu \mathcal{E}f)(V(G))}.$$

Hence, we can compute the values of f

4. from $\mathcal{E}f$ using μ .

Applying μ also takes $2^n \cdot n^{O(1)}$ time.

• Note that G is k -colorable iff $f(V(G)) \neq 0$. □

- It will sometimes be useful to compute the zeta and Möbius transforms for all $X \subseteq V$.
- The algorithm that computes these values separately for all subsets needs

$$O\left(\sum_{X \subseteq V} 2^{|X|}\right) = O\left(\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} 2^i\right)$$

(Binom. theorem) $O((2+2)^n) = O(3^n)$

arithmetic operations and evaluations of the transformed function f .

This can be done better!

Theorem (Fast Zeta and Möbius Transforms):

Given all the 2^n values of f on the input all the 2^n values of Zf and of μf can be computed using $O(2^n \cdot n)$ arithmetic operations. (Note that this is polynomial in the size of the input.)

Proof:

Consider the zeta transform.

Let $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

We interpret f as a function on bit-vectors, i.e.

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(X), \text{ where } i \in X \text{ iff } x_i = 1$$

// Characteristic vector.

With this,

$$(\zeta f)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_n \in \{0,1\}} [y_1 \leq x_1, \dots, y_n \leq x_n] \cdot f(y_1, \dots, y_n).$$

Here, $[...] is 1, if the expression in the brackets holds, 0, otherwise.$

• Let ζ_j fix the last $n-j$ bits, which means

$$\zeta_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_j \in \{0,1\}} [y_1 \leq x_1, \dots, y_j \leq x_j] \cdot f(y_1, \dots, y_j, \underbrace{x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n}_{\text{fixed}})$$

Denote $\zeta_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) := f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Note that $\boxed{\zeta_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\zeta f)(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$.

• The values of ζ_j can be found with the following dynamic programming:

$$\zeta_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} \zeta_{j-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n), & \text{if } x_j = 0 \\ \zeta_{j-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-2}, 0, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n) + \zeta_{j-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{j-2}, 1, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n), & \text{if } x_j = 1 \end{cases}$$

• The computation takes $\sigma(2^n \cdot n)$ arithmetic operations.
vectors iterations

• For the Möbius transform, we use this result plus Prop. 3 above.

Note: We stated the bound in terms of the number of arithmetic operations.
If an arithmetic operation can be carried out in polynomial time,
we obtain

$$2^n \cdot n^{O(1)}$$

as an upper bound on the runtime.

Application:

- Using the fast Möbius transform in the last step of the previous coloring algorithm, instead of computing

$$(\mu \xi f)(V(G)),$$

we can compute

$$(\mu \xi f)(X) \quad \text{for } \underline{\text{all}} \ X \subseteq V(G).$$

- Note that for $X \subseteq V(G)$ we have

$$G[X] \text{ is } k\text{-colorable} \iff f(X) \neq 0.$$

Hence, in time

$$2^n \cdot n^{O(1)}$$

we found all k -colorable induced subgraphs of G .

31.2 Fast Subset Convolution and Cover Product

Goal: • Define and study two binary operations on functions on the subset lattice.

- These operations turn out particularly handy in that
 - \hookrightarrow many problems can be reduced to them and
 - \hookrightarrow they occur in many algorithms.

- Particularly well-suited for problems that reason over partitions of the input.
- Technically: Compute sums over partitions of the input (functions applied to these partitions).

Definition:

Consider $f, g: P(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

- The subset convolution is the function $f * g: P(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$(f * g)(X) := \sum_{Y \subseteq X} f(Y) g(X \setminus Y),$$

or equivalently,

$$(f * g)(X) := \sum_{\substack{A \cup B = X \\ A \cap B = \emptyset}} f(A) g(B)$$

- The coarse product is $f *_{\subseteq} g: P(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$(f *_{\subseteq} g)(X) := \sum_{A \cup B = X} f(A) g(B).$$

- The pointwise product is $f \cdot g: P(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

with $(f \cdot g)(X) := f(X) \cdot g(X).$

Fact: The pointwise product can be computed in $O(2^{|V|})$ arithmetic operations.

Technical: • Compute all the $2^{|V|}$ values

Goal

of coarse product and subset convolution.

- Will show that this works in $2^n \cdot n^{O(1)}$ arithmetic operations ($n = |V|$)

such that this is polynomial in the input size.

Note: • The naive algorithms for subset convolution and convolution need $O(3^n)$ and $O(4^n)$ arithmetic operations.

• Indeed, for subset convolution, the number is proportional to the pairs $Y \subseteq X \subseteq V$,

$$\text{thus } \sum_{h=0}^n \binom{n}{h} 2^h = 3^n.$$

• For convolution, we have all triples (A, B, Y) with $A, B \subseteq Y \subseteq V$.

There are $2^n \cdot 2^n = 4^n$ such triples

(every choice of A, B determines one).

Lemma:

The zeta transform of the convolution is the pointwise product of the zeta-transformed arguments:

$$\zeta(f *_{\subseteq} g) = (\zeta f)(\zeta g).$$

Proof:

$$\zeta(f *_{\subseteq} g)(X) \stackrel{(\text{Def. } \zeta, *)}{=} \sum_{Y \subseteq X} \sum_{A \cup B = Y} f(A)g(B)$$

$$= \sum_{A \cup B = X} f(A)g(B)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{A \subseteq X} f(A) \right) \left(\sum_{B \subseteq X} g(B) \right) = [(\zeta f)(\zeta g)](X). \quad \square$$

By the inversion formula,

we obtain the following consequence.

Corollary: $f *_{\mathbb{C}} g = \mu((\mathcal{G}f) \cdot (\mathcal{G}g))$.

Using this corollary and the fast zeta and Möbius transforms from the main result in 31.1, we now have a fast algorithm for the convolution.

Theorem (Fast Conv Product):

For $f, g: \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given via all 2^n values for the inputs, we can compute all 2^n values of $f *_{\mathbb{C}} g$ in $O(2^n \cdot n)$ arithmetic operations.

Proof:

We proceed like in the application to coloring.

The first observation is that

$$\mathcal{G}(f *_{\mathbb{C}} g) = (\mathcal{G}f) \cdot (\mathcal{G}g) \text{ is easy to compute.}$$

Indeed,

$\mathcal{G}f$ and $\mathcal{G}g$ work in $O(2^n \cdot n)$ arithmetic operations by the previous main result.

Multiplying the results also takes $O(2^n)$ arithmetic operations by the above fact.

By the inversion formula, we obtain

$$f *_{\mathbb{C}} g \text{ as } \mu \mathcal{G}(f *_{\mathbb{C}} g).$$

Computing the fast Möbius transform again takes $O(2^n \cdot n)$ arithmetic operations. □

- We now use the algorithm for convolution to compute the subset convolution.

- Intuitively, the observation is that cardinalities are enough to control disjointness. The idea is to consider, for every $0 \leq i \leq k$, the contribution of those terms in $f * g$ where $|A| = i$ and $|B| = |X| - i$.

Definition:

Let $f: P(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

Then $f_i: P(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

with $f_i(X) := f(X)$. [$|X| = i$].

Theorem (Fast Subset Convolution):

Consider $f, g: P(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given via their 2^n values.

We can compute all 2^n values of $f * g$ in $O(2^n \cdot n^3)$ with naive operations.

Proof:

$$(f * g)(X) = \sum_{\substack{A \cup B = X \\ A \cap B = \emptyset}} f(A) \cdot g(B)$$

$$\text{("Key trick")} = \sum_{i=0}^{|X|} \sum_{\substack{A \cup B = X \\ |A| = i \\ |B| = |X| - i}} f(A) g(B)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(Definition)} &= \sum_{i=0}^{|X|} \sum_{A \cup B = X} f_i(A) \cdot g_{|X|-i}(B) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{|X|} (f_i * g_{|X|-i})(X). \end{aligned}$$

With this, the algorithm is as follows.

- For all $i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and $X \in \mathcal{P}(V)$, we compute and store

$$(f_i * g_j)(X).$$

- With the fast conv product from above, this takes

$$n^2 \cdot O(2^n \cdot n) = O(2^n \cdot n^3) \text{ operations.}$$

- With the same equations, we can then compute

$$(f * g)(X) \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathcal{P}(V)$$

with another $O(2^n \cdot n)$ operations.

\uparrow \uparrow
 sets sum over
 the sets

□

3.1.3 Counting Colorings via (Iterated) Fast Subset Convolution:

Goal: • Design an algorithm that counts the number of k -colorings in every induced subgraph of the input graph.

- By a k -coloring, we mean a function $c: \mathcal{P}(V(G)) \rightarrow [1, k]$,

and we count the number of distinct functions that are valid k -colorings.

- Consider colorings as distinct, if they partition the vertices into color classes the same way but the colors for the classes are different.

Algorithm: • Define $s: \mathcal{P}(V(G)) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$s(X) = 1 \quad \text{iff } X \text{ is an independent set.}$$

- Then $\underbrace{(s * \dots * s)}_{k\text{-times}}(X)$ is the number of k -colorings of $G[X]$.

Note that a k -coloring need not use all colors,
which happens even when a set is empty.

- The value $(s * \dots * s)(V(G))$ can be found
in time and space $2^n \cdot n^{O(1)}$
by applying fast subset convolution $k-1$ times.

Note that, due to associativity of $*$ (exercise),
we can also do this with $\log k$ fast subset convolutions
by iterated squaring:

$$s, \quad s^2 = s * s, \quad s^4 = (s^2)^2 = s^2 * s^2, \quad s^8 = (s^4)^2 = s^4 * s^4 \text{ etc.}$$

Theorem:

The number of k -colorings in every induced subgraph
of the input graph G can be found
in time and space $2^n \cdot n^{O(1)}$.