Exercises to the lecture Complexity Theory Sheet 5

Prof. Dr. Roland Meyer M.Sc. Peter Chini

Delivery until 02.12.2015 at 12h

**Exercise 5.1** (Reductions)

Let  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  denote two alphabets and let R be a set of functions from  $\Sigma_1^*$  to  $\Sigma_2^*$ .

- a) Assume that A is a language in  $\Sigma_1^*$  and that  $A \leq_m^R \Sigma_2^*$  holds. Show that  $A = \Sigma_1^*$ .
- b) Let |R| = 1 and assume that we have languages  $A, A' \subseteq \Sigma_1^*$  and  $B \subseteq \Sigma_2^*$  so that:

 $A \leq_m^R B$  and  $A' \leq_m^R B$ .

Show that we have A = A'.

**Exercise 5.2** (Reductions and hardness)

Let C be a complexity class so that co-C = C and let R be a set of functions. Assume that the language A is C-hard with respect to R-many-one reductions. Show that  $\overline{A}$  is also C-hard with respect to R-many-one reductions.

**Exercise 5.3** (Completeness in L)

Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite alphabet. Prove the following two statements:

- a) A language A over  $\Sigma$  is in L if and only if  $A \leq_m^{log} \{0, 1\}$ .
- b) Any language A in L that satisfies  $A \neq \emptyset$  and  $A \neq \Sigma^*$  is already L-complete with respect to logspace-many-one reductions.

**Exercise 5.4** (Acyclic reachability)

Show that we can reduce PATH to ACYCLICPATH with respect to logspace-many-one reductions. Conclude that  $\overline{ACYCLICPATH}$  is NL-complete.

Delivery until 02.12.2015 at 12h into the box next to 34-401.4