

# Concurrency theory

## Exercise sheet 3

Sebastian Muskalla, Prakash Saivasan

TU Braunschweig  
Winter term 2017/18

Out: November 1

Due: November 7

Submit your solutions until Tuesday, November 7, during the lecture. You may submit in groups up to three persons.

### Exercise 1: Communication-free Petri nets and SAT

A **communication-free Petri net** (or **BPP net**) is a Petri net in which each transition consumes at most one token, i.e. we have  $\forall t \in T: \sum_{p \in P} i(t, p) \in \{0, 1\}$ .

Show that the coverability problem for communication-free Petri nets is NP-hard by reducing SAT.

To this end, show how to construct in polynomial time from a given Boolean formula  $\varphi$  in conjunctive form  $\varphi$  a communication-free Petri net  $(N, M_0, M_f)$  such that  $M_f$  is coverable if and only if  $\varphi$  is satisfiable.

*Hint:* Introduce places for the parts of the formula. A computation of the net should first define a variable assignment, and then evaluate the formula under the assignment.

*Remark:* In fact, reachability and coverability for communication-free Petri nets are NP-complete.

### Exercise 2: 1-safe Petri nets and Boolean programs

Recall that a Petri net  $(N, M_0)$  is **1-safe** if we have  $M \in \{0, 1\}^P$  for all  $M \in R(N, M_0)$ .

Consider **Boolean programs**, sequences of labeled commands over a fixed number of Boolean variables. For simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the following types of commands:

$z \leftarrow x \wedge y$	$z \leftarrow x \vee y$	$z \leftarrow \neg x$
if $x$ then goto $l_t$ else goto $l_f$	goto $l$	halt

Here,  $x, y, z$  are variables and  $l, l_t, l_f$  are labels. The semantics of the commands are expected.

Assume that the initial variable assignment is given by  $x = \text{false}$  for all variables.

Assume that such a Boolean program is given. Explain how to construct an equivalent 1-safe Petri net. Equivalent means that the unique execution of the Boolean program is halting if and only if a certain marking is coverable.

*Remark:* This proves that coverability for 1-safe Petri nets is PSPACE-hard. In fact, coverability and reachability for 1-safe Petri nets are PSPACE-complete.

### Exercise 3: Using a unary encoding

Assume that we measure the size of Petri nets and markings by taking the unary encoding of the numbers, i.e. we redefine  $|M| = \sum_{p \in P} (1 + M(p))$  and  $|N| = \sum_{t \in T, p \in P} (1 + i(o, t) + o(t, p))$ .

a) Does the coverability problem get any easier using this assumption?

*Hint:* Inspect the proof of Lipton's result.

b) Discuss whether Rackoff's bound can be improved, proving

$$f(j+1) \leq (n \cdot f(j))^{j+1} + f(j).$$

### Exercise 4: VASS

There are other automata models that are equivalent to Petri nets, but they are less useful to model concurrent systems.

A **vector addition system with states (VASS)** of dimension  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  is a tuple  $A = (Q, \Delta, q_0, v_0)$  where  $Q$  is a finite set of control states,  $\Delta \subseteq Q \times \mathbb{Z}^d \times Q$  is a set of transitions,  $q_0 \in Q$  is the initial state and  $v_0 \in \mathbb{N}^d$  is the initial counter assignment. We write transitions  $(q, a, q') \in \Delta$  as  $q \xrightarrow{a} q'$ . A configuration of a VASS is a tuple  $(q, v)$  consisting of a control state  $q \in Q$  and a counter assignment, a vector  $v \in \mathbb{N}^d$ . The initial configuration of interest is  $(q_0, v_0)$ . A transition  $(q, a, q')$  is enabled in some configuration  $(q'', v)$  if  $q'' = q$  and  $(v + a) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  (i.e.  $(v + a)_i \geq 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ ). In this case, it can be fired, leading to the configuration  $(q', v + a)$ . Reachability is defined as expected.

a) Let  $(N, M_0, M_f)$  be a Petri net. Show how to construct a VASS  $A$  and a configuration  $(q_f, v_f)$  such that  $(q_f, v_f)$  is reachable from  $(q_0, v_0)$  in  $A$  if and only if  $M_f$  is reachable from  $M_0$  in  $N$ .

b) Let  $A$  be a VASS and  $(q_f, v_f)$  a configuration. Show how to construct a Petri net  $(N, M_0, M_f)$  such that  $(q_f, v_f)$  is reachable from  $(q_0, v_0)$  in  $A$  if and only if  $M_f$  is reachable from  $M_0$  in  $N$ .

c) (Bonus exercise, not graded.) A **vector addition system (VAS)** is a VASS with a single state, i.e.  $Q = \{q_0\}$ . Show that VAS-reachability is interreducible with VASS reachability (or Petri net reachability).