

15. Immerman & Szepesényi's Theorem

Goal: Answer Kuroda's second question from 1964
(see last lecture) positively:

The context-sensitive languages are closed under complement!

- History:
- The result was obtained independently 1988 and 1987 by
 - ↳ Neil Immerman (big fish already then, Univ. of Massachusetts Amherst)
 - ↳ Robert Szepesényi (student in Bratislava, Slovakia).
 - Both received the Gödel-Prize 1995.
 - The result brought the method of inductive counting to complexity theory.

Theorem (Immerman & Szepesényi '88'87):

If $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is context-sensitive, so is \bar{L} .

Goal: Let $L = L(G)$ with $G = (N, \Sigma, \delta, S)$ a type-2 grammar.

We construct an NLBFA that, upon input $w \in \Sigma^*$, accepts if there is no derivation $S \Rightarrow_G^* w$

To this end, we consider $\text{Graph}_{|w|}$, the graph of all sentential forms of length $\leq |w|$ together with the derivation relation.

Formally, $\text{Graph}_{|w|} := (\underbrace{(\Sigma \cup N)^{\leq |w|}}_{\text{nodes}}, \underbrace{\{(\alpha, \beta) \mid \alpha \Rightarrow_G \beta\}}_{\text{edges}})$.

There is no derivation $S \Rightarrow_G^* w$ iff there is no path from S to w in $\text{Graph}_{|w|}$.

Hence, the key of the proof is to show that

non-reachability in a graph of exponential size

can be solved non-deterministically with linear space

(we discussed that we can be slightly more liberal than only using the space given by the input).

- Summary:
- We have to develop an algorithm (a TM) that
 - gives a graph G (here $\text{Graph}_{|w|}$)
 - and two nodes s (here S) and t (here w)
 - shows the essence of a path from s to t .
 - Moreover, the algorithm should only need space (tape) logarithmic in the size of G .
- In our setting, being logarithmic in the size of $G = \text{Graph}_{|w|}$ means being linear in $|w|$ (see Box*)
- Hence, the algorithm is not only a TM but indeed an NLDTM.

Idea: • To check that t is not reachable from s in G , enumerate all nodes that are reachable from s and check that t is not among them.

• Sounds too easy?

How to enumerate all nodes in logarithmic space?

Ask differently?

How to ensure that all nodes reachable from s were enumerated?

Clear idea: Counting?

* $\text{Graph}_{|w|}$ has $c^{|w|}$ nodes and at most $(c^{|w|})^2 = c^{2|w|}$ edges, where $c = |V| + |E| + 1$.
Then $\log c^{2|w|} = 2|w| \log c$.

In detail: • Assume we are given $N = \text{number of nodes reachable from } s$.
We show how to compute N non-deterministically in logarithmic (in $|G|$) space below.

• Given N , the following non-deterministic algorithm

↳ checks that t is not reachable from s

in $G = (V, \rightarrow)$ with $|V| = n$

↳ works in space $O(\log n)$.

bool unreach (G, s, t)

begin

// Given N = number of nodes reachable from s

count := 0;

for every node v do

"make a non-deterministic guess
whether v is reachable from s ";

if guess = true then

"non-deterministically try to guess a path
from s to v of length $\leq n$ ";

// Easy in non-deterministic logarithmic space: Just store last node and steps.

if "guessed path does not lead to v " then

// Wrong path or wrong guess

return false;

else if $v = t$ then

// Reachable

return false;

else
count ++;

// Another reachable node $\neq t$ found

end if

end if

end for

if count < N then

// Guessed incorrectly about reachability for some v .

return false;

// Unreachable

else
return true;

end if

end

Algorithm unreach runs in (non-deterministic) logarithmic space.

Indeed, N and count can be at most n .

So they can be written down in binary at length $\log n$.

Lemma (Correctness):

Algorithm `unread(G, s, t)` has a computation that returns true
iff t is not reachable from s in G .

Proof:

The algorithm makes sure it enumerates all nodes reachable from s
by comparing count to N .

The algorithm accepts iff t was not one of the N nodes
reachable from s . \square

It remains to compute

$N =$ number of nodes reachable from s .

The key idea, nowadays called method of inductive counting,
is to inductively compute the values

$R(i) :=$ number of nodes reachable from s in $\leq i$ steps.

Then $N = R(n)$ (we do not have to repeat a node to solve reachability).

1) false to N number `Reach(G, s)`

begin

$R(0) := 1$

// s is reachable from s in 0 steps

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ do

$R(i) := 0;$

// initialize $R(i)$

(*) for every node v do

// Try all nodes u reachable from s in $\leq i-1$ steps

// Check if v is reachable from such a u in ≤ 1 step

count += 0;

for every node u do

"make a non-deterministic guess

whether u is reachable from s in $\leq i-1$ steps"

if guess = true then

"non-deterministically try to guess a path

from s to u of length $\leq i-1$ "

if "guessed path does not lead to u " then

return false;

else

count ++; // If u is reachable, count it in.

if $u=v$ or $u \rightarrow v$ then

$R(i) ++;$

goto (*);

// Go to next iteration
of 'for v' loop

end if

end if

end if

end for

// Loop for u

if count $< R(i-1)$ then

// Guessed incorrectly
about reachability

return false;

for some u .

end if

end for

// Loop for v

end for

// Loop for i

return $R(n)$;

end

Remark:

At any point in time, Algorithm `numberReach(-)` needs to remember only two successive values $R(i-1)$ and $R(i)$.

So it can reuse space when computing $R(1), \dots, R(n)$, and can be made run with logarithmic space.

Lemma:

`numberReach(G, s)` computes the number of nodes reachable from s in G .

Proof:

We proceed by induction on i and show that upon termination of the iteration for i :

$R(i) =$ number of nodes reachable from s in $\leq i$ steps.

Base : $R(0) = 1$ is correct.

case
($i=0$)

Induction : Assume the equality holds for $R(i)$
steps
($i \rightarrow i+1$) and consider $R(i+1)$.

The algorithm increments $R(i+1)$ on v

iff v is reachable from s in $\leq i+1$ steps.

To see this, note that $R(i+1)$ is not incremented

only if all nodes at distance $\leq i$ from s were tried.

and v is not reachable in ≤ 1 step from any of them.

We are sure to check all nodes at distance $\leq i$

by comparing count to $R(i)$. □

Summary:

- To check that t is not reachable from s in G , we first run algorithm $\text{numberReach}(G, s)$ to compute N . Then we run $\text{unreach}(G, s, t)$ with that N .
- Since both (non-deterministic) algorithms run in logarithmic space (tape), the total space required by the procedure is $O(\log |G|)$.
- We argued on Pages 1 and 2 that this entails the existence of an NLBFA for \bar{L} . □